AUDIT & STANDARDS COMMITTEE

Agenda Item 52

Brighton & Hove City Council

Subject: Strategic Risk Focus Report: SR13, SR33, SR15,

SR38, SR24, SR29 and SR25.

Date of Meeting: 9th March 2021

Report of: Executive Lead Officer, Strategy, Governance & Law

Contact Officer: Name: Jackie Algar Tel: 01273 291273

Email: Jackie.algar@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Ward(s) affected: All

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND POLICY CONTEXT

- 1.1 To report to the Audit & Standards Committee on the latest quarterly update to the city council's Strategic Risk Register (SRR).
- 1.2 The Committee have agreed to focus on at least two strategic risks (SRs) at each of their meetings. For this meeting there are seven SRs risks to receive focus and to enable Members' questions to be asked there will be attendance by Risk Owners as detailed below:

The Executive Director, Health and Adult Social Care (ED HASC) in respect of:

SR13 Not keeping vulnerable adults safe from harm and abuse; and

SR33 Not providing adequate accommodation and support for people with significant and complex needs.

The Executive Director, Families, Children and Learning (ED FCL) in respect of:

SR15 Not keeping children safe from harm and abuse; and

SR38 Difficulty in restoring trust and confidence in the home to school transport service and sourcing sufficient capacity to resolve issues raised by the independent review.

The Acting Chief Finance Officer in respect of:

SR24 *In the context of Covid-19* the needs and demands for services arising from the changing and evolving landscape of welfare reform is not effectively supported by the council; and

SR29 Ineffective contract performance management leads to sub-optimal service outcomes, financial irregularity and losses, and reputational damage.

The Chief Executive in respect of:

SR25 Insufficient organisational capacity or resources to deliver all services as before and respond to changing needs and changing circumstances.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS:

That the Audit & Standards Committee:

- 2.1 Note the SRR detailed within Table 1 of this report.
- 2.2 Note Appendix 1 the CAMMS Risk report with details of the SRs and actions taken ('Existing Controls') and actions planned.
- 2.3 Note Appendix 2 which provides:
 - i. a guide on the risk management process;
 - ii. guidance on how Members might want to ask questions of Risk Owners, or officers connected to the strategic risks; and
 - iii. details of opportunities for Members, or any staff, to raise issues on Strategic Risks at various points and levels.
- 2.4 Make recommendations for further action(s) to the relevant council body.

3. CONTEXT/ BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 3.1 The city council's SRs are reviewed quarterly by the Executive Leadership Team (ELT) taking on board comments from quarterly risk reviews carried out at Directorate Management Teams. This process ensures the currency of the city council's SRR.
- 3.2 The Audit & Standards Committee has a role to monitor and form an opinion on the effectiveness of risk management and internal control.
- 3.3 At ELT's review of the SRR on 27 January 2021 no risks were removed, no new risks were proposed or agreed. There remain 18 Strategic Risks.

The only change to the SRR was a changed risk title for SR24 to reflect Covid-19 on Welfare Reform.

Table 1 shows the current 18 Strategic Risks in the highest Revised Risk order which takes account of future actions to reduce or mitigate the risks.

For ease of reference the changed text in the title of SR24 is shown in italics.

Table 1

Risk Nos.	Risk Title	Initial Risk Score Likelihood (L) x Impact (I) & Direction of Travel (DOT)	Revised Risk Score Likelihood (L) x Impact (I) & Direction of Travel	Committee (s)	Risk Owner
SR 2	The Council is not financially sustainable	5 x 4	4 x 4	Policy & Resources Committee	Acting Chief Finance Officer
SR 36	Not taking all actions required to address climate and ecological change, and making our city carbon neutral by 2030	5 x 4 RED	4 x 4 RED	Environment, Transport & Sustainability Committee	Executive Director, Economy, Environment & Culture
SR 20	Failure to achieve Health and Social Care outcomes due to organisational and resource pressures on the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and Brighton & Hove City Council (BHCC)	5 x 4 RED	4 x 4 RED	Health & Wellbeing Board	Executive Director, Health & Adult Social Care
SR 37	Not effectively responding to and recovering from COVID- 19 in Brighton and Hove	4 x 4 RED	3 x 4 ◀▶ AMBER	Health & Wellbeing Board and Housing Committee	Executive Director, Health & Adult Social Care
SR 32	Challenges to ensure health & safety measures lead to personal injury, prosecution, financial losses	4 x 4 RED	3 x 4 AMBER	Policy & Resources Committee	Assistant Director Human Resources & Organisational Development

	Risk Title	Initial Risk	Revised Risk	Committee (s)	Risk Owner
Risk Nos.	NON THE	Score Likelihood (L) x Impact (I) & Direction of Travel (DOT)	Score Likelihood (L) x Impact (I) & Direction of Travel	Commutee (3)	rtisk Owner
	and reputational damage				
SR 33	Not providing adequate accommodatio n and support for people with significant and complex needs	4 x 4 RED	3 x 4 AMBER	Health & Wellbeing Board and Housing Committee	Executive Director, Health & Adult Social Care
SR 18	The organisation is unable to deliver its functions in a modern, efficient way due to the lack of appropriate technology	4 x 4 RED	3 x 4 AMBER	Policy & Resources Committee	Chief Executive
SR 25	Insufficient organisational capacity or resources to deliver all services as before and respond to changing needs and changing circumstances	4 x 4 RED	3 x 4 AMBER	Policy & Resources Committee	Chief Executive
SR 13	Not keeping Vulnerable Adults Safe from harm and abuse	4 x 4 RED	3 x 4 AMBER	Health & Wellbeing Board	Executive Director, Health & Adult Social Care
SR 15	Not keeping Children Safe from harm and abuse	4 x 4 RED	3 x 4 AMBER	Children, Young People & Skills Committee	Executive Director Families, Children & Learning
SR 10	Corporate Information Assets are inadequately controlled and	4 x 4 RED	4 x 3 AMBER	Policy & Resources Committee	Chief Executive

	Risk Title	Initial Risk	Revised Risk	Committee (s)	Risk Owner
Risk Nos.	RISK TITLE	Score Likelihood (L) x Impact (I) & Direction of Travel (DOT)	Score Likelihood (L) x Impact (I) & Direction of Travel	Committee (s)	Risk Owner
	vulnerable to cyber attack				
SR 35	Unable to manage serious risks and opportunities resulting from the impact of Brexit on the local and regional society and economy	5 x 4 ◆▶ RED	4 x 3 ◆► AMBER	Policy & Resources Committee	Executive Lead Officer, Strategy, Governance & Law
SR 38	Difficulty in restoring trust and confidence in the home to school transport service and sourcing sufficient capacity to resolve issues raised by the independent review	3 x 4 AMBER	3 x 3 AMBER	Children, Young People & Skills Committee	Executive Director Families, Children & Learning
SR 21	Unable to manage housing pressures and deliver new housing supply	3 x 4 ◀▶ AMBER	3 x 3 ◀▶ AMBER	Housing Committee	Executive Director, Housing, Neighbourhoods & Communities
SR 24	In the context of Covid-19 the needs and demands for services arising from the changing and evolving landscape of Welfare Reform is not	4 x 3 AMBER	3 x 3 ◆ ► AMBER	Policy & Resources Committee	Acting Chief Finance Officer

Risk Nos.	Risk Title	Initial Risk Score Likelihood (L) x Impact (I) & Direction of Travel (DOT)	Revised Risk Score Likelihood (L) x Impact (I) & Direction of Travel	Committee (s)	Risk Owner
	effectively supported by the council				
SR 23	Unable to develop and deliver an effective Regeneration and Investment Strategy for the Seafront and ensure effective maintenance of the seafront infrastructure	3 x 4 AMBER	3 x 3 ◀▶ AMBER	Environment, Transport & Sustainability Committee Tourism, Equalities, Communities & Culture Committee	Executive Director, Economy, Environment & Culture
SR 29	Ineffective contract performance management leads to suboptimal service outcomes, financial irregularity and losses, and reputational damage	3 x 4 ◆ ► AMBER	3 x 3 ◆ ► AMBER	Policy & Resources Committee	Acting Chief Finance Officer
SR 30	Not fulfilling the expectations of residents, businesses, government and the wider community that Brighton & Hove City Council will lead the city well and be stronger in an uncertain environment	3 x 4 AMBER	2 x 4 AMBER	Policy & Resources Committee	Chief Executive

4. ANALYSIS & CONSIDERATION OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

4.1 Through consultation with ELT the Risk Management process currently in operation was deemed to be the most suitable model.

5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT & CONSULTATION

5.1 This is an internal risk reporting process and as such no engagement or consultation has been undertaken in this regard.

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 The council must ensure that it manages its risks and meets it responsibilities and deliver its Corporate Plan, risk management is evidence for good governance.

7. FINANCIAL & OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications:

7.1 For each Strategic Risk there is detail of the actions already in place ('Existing Controls') or work to be done as part of business or project plans ('Risk Actions') to address the strategic risk. Potentially there may have significant financial implications for the authority either directly or indirectly. The associated financial risks are considered during the Targeted Budget Management process and the development of the Medium Term Financial Strategy

Finance Officer Consulted: James Hengeveld Date: 10/02/21

Legal Implications:

7.2 All Strategic Risks which are reported to the Audit & Standards Committee may potentially have legal implications. Where implications of a direct nature have been identified, reference to them may be made in the Appendices to this Report.

Lawyer Consulted: Victoria Simpson Date 03/02/2021

Equalities Implications:

7.3 The SRR is shared with the Equalities Team. It is a corporate requirement that equalities implications are included within the performance management framework which includes risk management. There is an expectation that data will be used to evidence how service improvements are being made which have the aim of reducing inequalities.

Sustainability Implications:

7.4 There are no direct implications.

Brexit Implications:

7.5 There are no direct implications in this report. SR35 specifically considers Brexit and actions which have taken place or are planned.

Any Other Significant Implications:

7.6 None.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices:

- 1. CAMMS Risk report SR13, SR33, SR15, SR38, SR25, SR24 and SR29.
- 2. A guide on the risk management process and how Members might want to ask questions of Risk Owners in relation to Strategic Risks

Background Documents

1. None.